UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JDS UNIPHA			
CORPORAT	,	CAS	E NO. <u>3:14-cv-01091-JST</u>
	Plaintiff(s),		
COADNA PI INC.	v. HOTONICS,		PULATION AND [PROPOSED] DER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	2 (1011001111(5))	/	
	el report that they have ulation pursuant to Civ		egarding ADR and have reached the R L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the	e following ADR pro	cess:
(Note: Parties appreciably m ADR phone CADR	ore likely to meet their	ion (ENE) (ADR L . 6) arly settlement confer needs than any other file this form. They ocal Rule 16-8 and A	rence with a Magistrate Judge is or form of ADR must participate in an must instead file a Notice of Need for DR L.R. 3-5)
·	ree to hold the ADR se the presumptive deadl	•	90 days from the date of the order
			ss otherwise ordered.)
\checkmark	other requested deadli	ine 30 days following	ng issuance of the Markman ruling
Dated: <u>06/18/</u>	2014_	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5.1(j)(3), I hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from all the signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/, within this e-filed document and I have or file records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request.	/s/ Calvin L. Litsey
Dated: 06/18/	2014		/s/ Reuben H. Chen
			Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

XX	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: June 20, 2014



When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."